STATE OF WISC	ONSIN CIRCUIT COURT OUTAGA	MIE COUNT
STATE OF WISC	ONSIN,	
V .	Plaintiff,  Case No.	13-CF-107
CHONG LENG LE	·	
	Defendant. ORIGINAL	
	MOTION HEARING	
BEFORE:	HONORABLE GREGORY B. GILL, JR. Circuit Court Judge, Branch IV	
		FOR OF CIRCUIT CO
	Appleton, WI 54911 $\bigcirc$	ERK OF CIRCUIT CO TAGAMIE COUNTY F
	1 1	JN - 9 201
DATE:	May 26, 2015	JUN 0 CO.
1000101V000		0'Cl
APPEARANCES:	CARRIE SCHNEIDER District Attorney	
	Appearing on behalf of the State	
	ANDREW MAIER and PETER HAHN	
	Assistant District Attorneys Appearing on behalf of the State	·
	<b>DEBORAH VISHNY</b> and <b>EVAN WEITZ</b> Attorneys at Law	
	Appearing on behalf of the Defer	ıdant
	CHONG LENG LEE	
	Defendant Appearing in person	
Joan Biese		
Official Repo Outagamie Cou	rter, Branch IV	

Τ			1	NDEX	
2					
3	WITNESSES				PAGE
4	CHUE LEE THAO	1	70	*** 1	2.0
5	Examination	by	Attorney	Vishny Schneider Vishny	. 43
6		_	_	violity	• 40
7	MICHAEL D. GOS!			Vishny	. 53
8				Schneider	
	NEAL RABAS				
9				Vishny	
10				SchneiderVishny	
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: We are on the record in
3	13CF1074, State of Wisconsin v. Chong Leng Lee.
4	Mr. Lee appears in person, along with his
5	counsel, Attorney Deja Vishny and Evan Weitz.
6	Representing the State of Wisconsin, Outagamie County
7	District Attorney Carrie Schneider, along with
8	Outagamie County Assistant District Attorney Andrew
9	Maier.
10	This matter is scheduled today for a pretrial
11	conference.
12	What can we address today, Miss Schneider?
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think we were
14	waiting for the court to maybe make some rulings or
15	decisions, but I think Miss Attorney Vishny has
16	filed a motion, Judge, labeled the Motion to Compel
17	Discovery, the last thing we talked about. She said
18	she did subpoena, and I know they are here under
19	subpoena, both Sergeant Rabas and Sergeant Chue Thao
20	on that motion. So she may want to call them to
21	elicit. I probably am going to want to respond in a
22	written fashion to that, and it had been my intent to
23	try to do that prior to today's date, but then we had

a series of attempted homicides which threw away much

of my plans for earlier this month.

24

1	And then we have a motion filed by defense, this
2	would be a new motion, Motion to Permit
3	Cross-examination of Witness Regarding Gang
4	Affiliation. I'd like to respond to that, instead of
5	putting forth some document if I feel I need to, but
6	I don't know if the it's their motion, so I'll let
7	them speak on that.
8	I think we were waiting and I'm trying to
9	look back at my notes from the last times we were
10	here. Yup. I don't know if you've specifically
11	ruled, because I just have "court to decide" in
12	April, and this is when we were meeting in early
13	April, on references to beat the case. I think that
14	was oh, that's right. Okay. We ruled on Paul
15	Lee's statements last time, we ruled on gang and
16	severing last time. We had some additional testimony
17	about Facebook.
18	THE COURT: Right. And I still need and
19	I do need to rule on that. I do know that.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then I think that
21	was it, Judge, that I have on my post for what we had
22	for today. Things such as there are other
23	housekeeping things, but now that our trial date is

different -- we talked about witness priors in that

listing. I can provide some of that, what we have so

24

far, but it's going to change prior to, so my thought 1 2 was just to provide that to defense for now and then 3 we can provide an updated copy to them prior to trial. Maybe even if we shoot for by November 1st we 4 5 would provide any updates, we'll provide something to them about witness prior convictions and numbers. 6 7 Because we were searching, and I know my investigator 8 had looked through like Brown County juvenile, because the court had some concerns about us being 9 able to potentially, given the age of some of these 10 11 people, if there were juvenile adjudications that 12 were relevant, you wanted those explored. THE COURT: And then I think we were going 13 to make initial disclosures on that, I don't remember 14 the specific date, and then what we would do is close 15 to trial we would come up with a revised list in the 16 17 event that there were some changes to the original. ATTORNEY VISHNY: I think there's going to 18 be changes. Looking from the number of charges that 19 some of the witnesses have accumulated since the date 20 21 of this homicide. One of the witnesses just picked 22 something up in March, I can't remember who right now, but I was looking on CCAP last night, so there 23

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: It's going to be an

is clearly --

24

1	ever changing list, but I can at least, if nothing
2	else, provide, so they have a preliminary, so if they
3	want to do some cross-checking knowing that updates
4	would be
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's fine. I don't see
6	the point of really getting that list until about a
7	month before trial. I'm more concerned with some of
8	these other issues today.
9	THE COURT: I do I will tell you right
10	now, I'm going to need some additional time, I want
11	to go over more on the Facebook issue. I will have
12	that I expect within 30 days, but I do want to spend
13	some additional time on that, and I will get that
14	issue addressed as well.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's fine.
16	THE COURT: The I thought, Miss
17	Schneider and Miss Vishny, I thought I had ruled on
18	the beat the case, but I might be am I wrong?
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. What I recall
20	happening was that what you said is that merely the
21	phrase standing alone beat my case is not necessarily
22	probative of anything, but and the defense agreed,
23	if it's like I don't want you to testify so I can
24	beat my case, that that would be probative and that
25	you were inclined to admit that. And then, Judge,

1 what I recall is that you asked the State to provide 2 you the specific context for where they wanted to 3 admit those phrases. 4 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And you might have, 5 Judge. I apologize. I probably didn't take good 6 notes then. I just have beat the case in quotes and 7 then court to decide later without a note for myself 8 to do any more. 9 THE COURT: No. And, seemingly, Attorney 10 Vishny's recollection is correct, that I was -- at 11 its out -- outset, I think my impression was that it 12 was an amorphous enough concept it may mean 13 something, it may mean nothing, but I thought that, 14 Miss Schneider, the State was going to go back, give 15 me some more context to that so that I could make a 16 more educated assessment of that statement. 17 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And I apologize if we 18 didn't do that. 19 THE COURT: That's okay. 20 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I'm just looking to 21 Actually, I -- my calendars weren't starting in see. 22 July. 23 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. That had been due 24 on April 27th, just for the record. So, Judge, it's

going to depend on some of their issues, but I'm

1	going to be asking for a court date here in August
2	so
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I mean, I'm thinking I
4	can have that to the court by either the 19th of
5	June even. I mean we can get it turned around, I
6	just didn't know. I have two other things the next
7	two weeks.
8	THE COURT: Why don't we make that the
9	date.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Due June 19th?
11	Thank you.
12	THE COURT: And then assuming we're going
13	to get an August date, is there any reason and
14	I it's not that I can't do it, I'm just trying to
15	be convenient for the parties, do you want all the
16	rulings at that August date? Otherwise, if you want
17	to come up in advance we can do that, if you want to
18	do it telephonically we can do that. There is a lot
19	of ways to deal with this.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Well, as far as the
21	Facebook motion goes, I have very limited
22	availability between now and July 28th, so because
23	I'm going to be out of town a substantial portion of
2 4	that time. If you want to rule on the Facebook

motion in June, I won't appear in person, but really,

1	Mr. Weitz has handled that, and he and I discussed
2	the fact that after the State's last filing, really,
3	the defense had provided everything in writing it
4	wanted to do for the court, so I'm perfectly
5	comfortable if you want to issue a ruling on that
6	that works with Mr. Weitz's calendar to do so.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And that might be
9	convenient. If that's convenient for all the
10	parties, then we can do that. It might not even be a
11	day where I can participate telephonically, but I
12	feel perfectly comfortable with Mr. Weitz handling
13	that.
14	THE COURT: And I guess the long and the
15	short of it is, to be totally candid, is it more
16	convenient for me to have it done in August,
17	certainly, but I don't want to impinge upon your
18	preparation, and so if you are of the assessment,
19	Judge, this will help us strategize, I can get it
20	done much sooner.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't really
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: For us, whether you do
23	it in June or August isn't really going to change how
24	we prep this between then and December really.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: It does not make any

1 difference to the defense. 2 THE COURT: Okay. I'll get all the 3 decisions at one time. 4 ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's fine. 5 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I'll put that down. 6 ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. But then we 7 have some other issues. Now, regarding the gang cross-examination, the 9 State wants an opportunity to respond, is that what 10 you said, Miss Schneider? 11 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Well --12 ATTORNEY VISHNY: I mean, I have written --13 so what I have written is a memo of law regarding 14 my -- why I should be able -- why the defense should 1.5 be able to cross-examine the police and at least Joe 16 Thor. I haven't reviewed every single witness, but I 17 think what I wrote about here is very typical of what 18 occurred regarding bias, and that I -- but not as to 19 a theory of the case that these people are covering 20 up for a fellow ACK member, Paul Lee, necessarily, 21 but just as to their bias and motive to falsify 22 generally in the case, that I be permitted to do that 23 cross-examination when the witnesses testify, and 24 that would also include, no doubt, cross-examining

the police about -- and I do intend to cross-examine

1	them about their interrogation methods of the various
2	witnesses, not just as to this but more broadly as to
3	their interrogation methods in general. So I have
4	written a memo of law on that, and that's the defense
5	position. If the State wants to respond to that and
6	have a ruling in August, I don't have any issue with
7	that, but I would like that to be ruled on by
8	August.
9	THE COURT: Absolutely.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We could use the same
11	June 19th date to respond, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. And then
13	do you want also an additional I don't know who's
14	handling that, if it's Attorney Vishny or Attorney
15	Weitz, do you want that some reply time?
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes. I might have to
17	turn it over to Attorney Weitz though.
18	THE COURT: Why don't we look at we'll
19	look at a June 19th for the State to respond, and
20	then why don't we look at, let me take a look here,
21	maybe around July well, why don't we make it like
22	a July 7th. Does that work, Attorney Weitz?
23	ATTORNEY WEITZ: Yeah. That will be
24	fine.
25	THE COURT: It's about three weeks, and

1 then I factored in the holiday weekend. 2 ATTORNEY WEITZ: Sounds great. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 ATTORNEY VISHNY: So that leaves us two 5 issues left. One is the translation issue and the 6 other is the latest motion to compel discovery. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 ATTORNEY VISHNY: The translation issue is 9 probably the easier of the two, if you would like me 10 to go into that further. 11 THE COURT: That would be fine. Go ahead, 12 Counsel. 13 ATTORNEY VISHNY: So what I did was this. 14 I think I had advised the court that there were 15 problems with United Translators' translations, and 16 the problems that I was aware of were not really as 17 to substance, per se, but regarding the fact that 18 there was untranslated English in between and so that 19 we couldn't really get a complete transcript. 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 ATTORNEY VISHNY: So in order to prepare 22 for today I did two things. Number one, I hired my 23 own translator whose name is Moua - one minute - Moua 24 Consulting Group. I hired Moua Consulting Group in

Milwaukee, and the reason I hired that consulting

1	group is because they are certified court
2	interpreters who handle this. So what the Moua
3	Consulting Group did, specifically Mayhoua Moua, did
4	was to review the translations by United Translators
5	of Tom Lee and of one translation by United
6	Translators of a phone call between Stephanie Thao
7	and Chong Lee, and the United Translators' translator
8	did find this is what she wrote me. I'm just
9	going to read it to you.
10	THE COURT: This is what the Moua
11	Consulting Group reported to you about United.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. I don't have the
13	actual translations yet, but this is what she did,
14	and she's because Mayhoua Moua is out of town for
15	several weeks, she wasn't able to provide me
16	transcripts yet, but what she said is: We listened
17	to most of the CDs and the translations are not too
18	far off. And what she wants to do is make
19	corrections where necessary using tracking so I can
20	see corrections, that's just like a complete waste of
21	money to have it totally retranslated. So, you know,
22	I asked about one particular line, and she, you know,
23	said that her translation basically corresponds to
24	United Translators. So, in general, based on that,

I'm not challenging, you know -- unless I see a major

1 difference somewhere on something critical, I'm not 2 going to challenge the substance of United 3 Translator, but I have major issues with how things were translated so --4 5 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think she's talking 6 about the format of it. 7 THE COURT: And my recollection is that the 8 State was going to go back to United and ask them to 9 either -- I think all of us expected this at the 10 outset, was if there was English that didn't need 11 translation it would be included in italics or bold 12 or something so that we could read the full context 13 of it. 14 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Right. 15 ATTORNEY VISHNY: But I also talked to 16 Carmel Capati, C-A-P-A-T-I, from the -- she's the 17 Director of the State Courts Translation Service. 18 I'm just going to show the judge. And I'm just going 19 to show this to you. This is the appropriate form 20 for translation, so this is -- I received this by 21 e-mail to Miss Capati. So what I'm showing the court 22 is an example in Spanish of what a translation should 23 look like, with the Spanish on one side and the 24 English translation on another, and that way it can

be reviewed by somebody.

1	THE	COURT:	Sura
<b>⊥</b>	1111	COUNT.	Dure.

2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So and then there is a
3	key that should usually be included. And then,
4	according to Miss Capati, translation should then be
5	certified so you know how the translator is. So what
6	I have asked of Miss Schneider is to have these
7	matters not retranslated but retranscribed, including
8	the English, and also done in this format of Hmong to
9	English. And if there's anything that the defense
10	decides to correct, we will also use this format. I
11	suggested to Miss Schneider that that be done by the
12	middle of August. That would give them
13	two-and-a-half months, basically, to complete that
14	work - Miss Schneider believes that's a reasonable
15	time period - and then that gives me adequate time if
16	I'm going to challenge anything to have time to
17	review it. I really want to make sure that we don't
18	adjourn this case again, so I really want this to be
19	on track because this is such a crucial part of
20	what's going on in this case. So Miss Schneider told
21	me she does not believe this is unreasonable. So
22	what I'd like to do is have that done and set
23	she's talked to United Translators, but there are
24	many other translation services that can do this.
25	I and I don't think this is going to take that

long since they've already started the work, so I would like those to be provided to me by the court

3 date that we set in the middle of August.

THE COURT: Miss Schneider.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I said I didn't think the time was reasonable, I'd go with whatever the court ordered. I have used -- there isn't any set, this is the way they should be formatted. And even with the seven circuit courts we have here in Outagamie County, everybody has got different rules about how they want it, what they want, page numbers on every page, headings or not. So I'm not aware of any statutory requirement, any court rule from the state or national level that says, here's how it is. That, I agree, is probably the best for ease of everyone, so I'll abide by -- if the court wants it in that format, I'll have them do that in that format. And I had made the call to say we're going to have you go back -- because there could be a ten-second section where eight seconds of it is in Hmong and you hear like I believe Miss Vishny said Oshkosh which we -- okay, I believe that was the city Oshkosh that was said. There is other things within that that weren't done. They were very literal and only put in what was Hmong. So I'll abide by

whatever court order the court wants. I probably do not want it presented that way, though, for the jury because I don't want them being distracted by the column where the bulk of it is going to be in Hmong and not following along with what has been translated. But for us to get to that point of trial, that's probably a good way for everybody to work off of the document, but we can have discussions as we go forward on what the jury might see. ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's fine. 

THE COURT: If we can, at least for discovery purposes and working product, if you could ask United Translators, I did that like that format, I think it's easiest to follow along, especially for preparation purposes. If we could see if United can do that format, that's what I'd like to see. And if they come and have a reasonable alternative, we can talk about that, but that would be my -- my expectation is that they're able to put together a format akin to what has been provided by Attorney Vishny.

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And what we've been trying to do, I don't know if that's on there or not, is at least on every page identify play points so if we get to the point where we only want to play a

1	section, it's easy for the parties to figure out
2	where we are in a 30-minute or two-hour video.
3	THE COURT: That would be great.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's fine. I'm going
5	to actually give this to Miss Schneider, these are
6	copies, so that the State can show them to United
7	Translators. So then when we set the date, I
8	would when we set our next court date, I would ask
9	that be the date by which they be provided. And will
10	the court order that?
11	THE COURT: That's fine. I will order that
12	it be provided by that August date yet to be set.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I'm going to call it
15	our dual column format is probably the best way to
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes. I think that is.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't know if you
18	want to pick a date now and then do the motion and
19	the testimony?
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Doesn't matter to me.
21	THE COURT: We can see if we can get a
22	date. Are we thinking in addition to the
23	decisions I will render, do you think that there will
24	be additional motion practice on that August date?
25	ATTODNEY VICUNY. I think it's quito

1 possible depending on what happens on our next issue 2 which is the discovery. 3 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I'd rather book it and 4 have it than not. 5 THE COURT: Why don't we set it for a full 6 afternoon. 7 ATTORNEY VISHNY: We're talking mid-August? 8 THE COURT: August 17th. Does that work? 9 ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's a Monday. That's 10 really good for me. In fact, I can do pretty much 11 any day that week except for Friday. Is that good 12 for you? Okay. August 17th. What time, Judge? THE COURT: We'll make it 1:15 and just 13 block off the entire afternoon. 14 15 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. That's great. 16 Thank you. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Judge, this is just 19 more -- I don't think we're going to take as much 20 time as I anticipated today. One of the things that 21 I had talked with defense on, and again this is more 22 a housekeeping planning thing, there may be use of 23 Google maps --24 THE COURT: Okay.

25

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: -- in this case. And

Τ	what the officers have showed me is how you can go to
2	the street view and take it from Luna, go up to a
3	location, go back, you can go down an alley even that
4	was a reference and up to the other cities or
5	residence. What I'm just working out with the
6	officers is how we're going to do that. If we do it
7	live off the web, I want it taped in some fashion so
8	that that becomes an exhibit, unless they do a route
9	before using Google maps that we can turn over to
10	defense and say this is Exhibit B and they'll walk
11	through that testimony. The advantage of doing it
12	live is if they ask for a different location, he can
13	go to that location or go up the street or around the
14	corner. So it's something we'll work out, but I at
15	least wanted to let you know it's something with the
16	technology and the use of that, I didn't realize how
17	it's still pretty accurate, or I should say very
18	accurate in all the different locations it can go to.
19	So, just for the court's information, my only concern
20	about doing it live is how do we preserve that to
21	know what was ever shown. So we'll work through
22	that.
23	THE COURT: Let me ask this. It may not be
24	overly significant. In terms of monitors,
25	projectors theoretically I could have a larger TV

by then, but it's not likely. Do you want me to bring in -- do we want to have a screen and a --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We have the equipment, and I know the Clerk of Courts has one unit. If not, we have a second where we -- my thinking is if we're going to need to show something, and this is part of why we have all afternoon so we can talk through some logistics about layout, is probably to utilize a bigger screen, you can do it where your laptop even on your table, if the cords are long enough, can be used to push play, it will go through a device and then show it on the larger screen. The best spot is probably -- I'm pointing kind of to the area where the podium is so the parties can all stay oriented where they are. Only one time did I rearrange your courtroom, but that was because we couldn't show the back of the room what we were showing.

ATTORNEY VISHNY: First of all I think it's a really good idea to do it live if it can be preserved for the record. I do believe that that would be fine. I think what I would like to do, since it's going to be an exhibit used in advance, is to schedule a date sometime before the trial where, since I can't get copies of it in advance, where I can come and meet with Miss Schneider and whatever

1 officer she intends to present this through and just 2 review it so that I have a full appreciation of what 3 is going to be done in court. I'm familiar, 4 generally speaking, with Google Earth. I'd like 5 that. I don't know what you can do to record that. 6 That's the only --7 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We're going to have to 8 have somebody physically in here filming it. 9 THE COURT: That's what I was going to 10 suggest. 11 ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't know if it's -- I 12 don't know enough about technology, if it would take 13 an IT person, if something can be hooked up that would record it as it happens. I think it would be 14 15 disruptive, somebody with a camera between the --16 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sergeant Rabas and I 17 had even talked about there's a way he can do it or 18 someone can do it here on a laptop, and then it's 19 going to be shown live so the person recording here 20 could be here, but Mr. Maier believes there might be 21 software that we can hit record and it will record 22 everything that shows up on the screen while it's 23 going and then we'll just stop and --24 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. That's what I 25 So I think that's really a good idea. I thought.

1	think having a larger TV is really important because,
2	frankly, I don't think all the jurors are going to be
3	able to see something on Google Maps through one of
4	these.
5	THE COURT: Really, the issue I guess
6	the inquiry more specifically is if we have a screen
7	that can accomplish what we need, certainly I'll get
8	that. If we think that simply getting a larger TV, I
9	have no idea what size this is, 40 inches, 40
10	something?
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That looks like about a
12	40-inch television to me.
13	THE COURT: I can probably have, God
14	willing, a 70-inch, but I don't know if a if we
15	wouldn't still be better with a projector unit.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What we had thought
17	about doing originally when we were going to be
18	commencing in June was using the State to play around
19	with things. What I can do otherwise for the August
20	17th date, I'll have our screen and some of the
21	equipment up, and when we're done, I can just show
22	Attorneys Vishny and Weitz, because they would be
23	here, how large it looks when we have that screen and
24	maybe we can give a preview on that day.
25	THE COURT: If you can bring the technology

1	up for the August hearing, and if it looks like we
2	need to do something different, that still gives me
3	sufficient amount of time.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: There may be things we
5	want to play so we would have to be using the State's
6	equipment.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That's not a
8	problem.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: While we're on the
10	technology issue, I know that because Luna was sold
11	that the Appleton Police Department had somebody from
12	the State Patrol come in and create a I don't know
13	if it's a 3-D diagram. Do we know when that's going
14	to be ready?
15	SERGEANT RABAS: Soon. I don't have a
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Could we find out by the
17	mid-August date?
18	SERGEANT RABAS: Oh, yeah. I think it will
19	be ready for sure by that date.
20	THE COURT: Okay. If I understand
21	correctly, it's simply waiting for the formatting of
22	that. They've already done the walk-through tour.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yeah. They did that,
24	and they shot even more points than what I thought we
25	might need just to be safe so that we have that, or

1 dimensions and things like that, so now they just 2 have to, I think, put it together. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 ATTORNEY VISHNY: So that will be helpful 5 to both parties for preparation. THE COURT: So we'll expect that at the 6 7 August 17th date as well. 8 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Correct. 9 THE COURT: Very good. 10 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So that brings us 11 to the final issue. 12 THE COURT: So we have the motion to compel 13 issue. 14 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. 15 Your Honor, just to give you the background of 16 this, on May 5th I received some new discovery from 17 the State. If you think I'm being too repetitive 18 because I put this all in writing, I can certainly 19 silence myself. 20 In any event, there were three witness 21 interviews conducted by Sergeants Rabas and Thao. 22 The names were Ryan Thao, Mikey Thao and Watou Lee. 23 And all three have apparently been previously 24 interviewed by the police and didn't want their names

revealed. And what happened here was I believe that

Sergeant Rabas and Sergeant Thao were, you know, assisting the State in its final pretrial preparation and went out and reinterviewed these witnesses and asked all three witnesses whether they would be willing to potentially come forward and have their names disclosed, and they told these witnesses that their identities had been inadvertently disclosed.

2.0

So, first of all, it's clear to me from having reviewed these tapes that these people -- I have read the reports of all of them. I did not have a chance to yet play Mr. Watou Lee's tape, so I can't say what's on that, but I have listened to Ryan Thao and Mikey Thao, and it's clear to me that these two individuals were interviewed before and that I don't have any discovery on the previous interviews.

So the first order of business is for -- the first issue is I would like all reports, notes and recordings of the initial interviews of these three individuals, because I was aware of their identity, that's true, but I didn't know the police had ever interviewed them before. So that's the first issue. I am particularly interested with Mr. Ryan Thao, I would also like to know how he identified Chong Lee, because they don't know each other, and I don't know what I'm going to find, but I'm going to ask leave of

- the court to potentially bring a motion to suppress.

  I don't know what happened in the prior procedures,

  so I would like to know -- I don't know if the State

  has an answer for that, but I do intend to question,

  I believe it's Sergeant Thao who had talked to these
- individuals previously. I could be wrong, but I believe he's the one who did it.

believe he's the one who did it.

2.5

THE COURT: And, Attorney Vishny, do you already have what I understood to be the follow-up interviews? Do you already have that information?

interviews that were conducted on April 17th and 18th. So I only have that. I don't have anything from the initial interviews at all. So what I do have -- it's -- well, when Sergeant Rabas and Sergeant Thao go interview these people, what they tell these three individuals is, look, you know, we gave your identities to the defense because we were looking at pictures of people who came out of Luna and your pictures were in there. Okay? In fact, maybe their pictures are in there, I don't know what everybody here looks like, but their identities had been given to me in the original packet of discovery because these three individuals were all in a car that was stopped leaving Luna that very night, and

- the discovery, going back all the way to April 2013, I had the identities of these people except that Ryan Thao is referred to as Jack Thao in that. Not only that, I was given -- if you recall, I think it was back in either March or April, the State provided the defense with a packet called gang witnesses. to do with prior arrests of suspected gang members. Mikey Thao was in that packet.
  - THE COURT: Was or was not?

another reference to this traffic stop, so -- and a prior, so I don't really understand why, having been given discovery on this from a long time ago, the identity of these people, why this was withheld. So it wasn't turned over inadvertently through pictures, it was given to me in discovery. Whether they're unaware of what they're giving me, I have no idea, but there were no actual interviews. So that's the first order of business, what exists for prior interviews of these people. It's the policy of the Appleton Police Department to record all interactions with citizen witnesses, so I'm assuming that all three of these have been interviewed and recorded previously.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Judge, Mikey Thao, and
2	I want to say Mickey, it's Mikey, and Watou were
3	stopped in a traffic stop immediately the night of.
4	They said they knew nothing, they didn't see
5	anything, didn't have any information to provide
6	during that interview. So they in that regard,
7	yes, their names were given because of that traffic
8	stop. Part of the reason why, when we ran any of the
9	names that might have the gang ties, when you made
10	that order, we ran their names and provided anything
11	we could find. And I'll let Sergeant Rabas speak as
12	to what they did in April and why, but it's the
13	specific information that was asked of them and
14	elicited from them in April of this year was not
15	previously provided. They previously did speak with
16	law enforcement after the traffic stop but did not
17	want to be identified, asked not to be identified,
18	and that was done. I'll let Sergeant Rabas speak as
19	to why he did what he did, because I don't want to
20	speak for him, in making contact with them again in
21	April, because it's not necessarily what Attorney
22	Vishny wants to argue or points out as to why they
23	went back to talk to these people.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Well, I can only argue
25	based on what I heard on the tape, so why don't we

- call the witnesses, and I would actually -- since
- 2 it's my motion, I want to call Sergeant Thao first
- 3 and ask Sergeant Rabas to please leave the room and
- 4 be sequestered.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. I will grant the
- 6 sequestration request.
- 7 Sergeant Thao, if you would please come forward.
- 8 (Oath administered to witness.)
- 9 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 10 THE CLERK: Please state your full name,
- spelling your last name for the record please.
- THE WITNESS: Chue Lee Thao, C-H-U-E,
- 13 L-E-E, last name is Thao, T-H-A-O.
- 14 THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, your
- witness.
- 16 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you.
- 17 EXAMINATION
- 18 BY ATTORNEY VISHNY:
- 19 Q Sergeant Thao, on April 17th and 18th of 2015, did
- 20 you accompany Sergeant Neal Rabas to conduct
- interviews of Ryan Thao, Mikey, that's M-I-K-E-Y,
- 22 Thao, and Watou, W-A-T-O-U, Lee?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
- 24 Q I'm going to ask you then about these people one at a
- 25 time so the record is clear.

- 1 First of all, Ryan Thao. Ryan Thao is also
- 2 known as Jack Thao, correct?
- 3 A No. Jack Thao is a different person.
- 4 Q It is a different person?
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q So was Ryan Thao in the vehicle with Mikey Thao and
- 7 Watou Lee that was stopped outside of Luna on the
- 8 night of the shooting in 2013?
- 9 A I was aware of Ryan Thao, Watou. I'm not too certain
- about the other person. I wasn't aware of that
- incident until several days later, and even as of now
- I have not read that report so I don't know exactly
- 13 who was in the vehicle.
- 14 Q Okay. It's clear from listening to your interview of
- Ryan Thao you have interviewed him previously. When
- was that?
- 17 A That was on the afternoon of December 11, 2013.
- 18 Q Where were you when you interviewed him?
- 19 A I've learned that he work at Plexus so we went to his
- workplace.
- 21 Q Did you record that interview consistent with
- 22 Appleton Police Department policy?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
- 24 Q And where is that recording now located?
- 25 A Based on his request, I destroyed that recording.

- 1 Q When was that?
- 2 A When was the interview?
- 3 Q When was the destruction of the recording?
- 4 A I believe it was -- it would be an estimation, I
- 5 would say maybe seven, eight months after the -- the
- 6 interview.
- 7 Q Did you have it transcribed prior to destroying it?
- 8 A No, I did not.
- 9 Q When did you inform Miss Schneider about the
- 10 existence of Ryan Thao as a witness?
- 11 A I don't recall exactly when. It was part of
- 12 discussion here and there, but it was -- it was
- disclosed about those three witnesses that did not
- 14 absolutely want to be identified.
- 15 Q When did you make that disclosure to the District
- 16 Attorney's office?
- 17 A Again, it was after the -- the interview.
- 18 Q Correct. So give me your best estimate of the month
- and year that you made that disclosure to Miss
- 20 Schneider.
- 21 A I would say within several either weeks or with --
- 22 after the interview was conducted.
- 23 Q So clearly the prosecution was aware by, let's say,
- 24 February of 2014 of the existence of these witnesses,
- 25 that would be -- by the end of February, that would

- 1 be about two-and-a-half months after the shooting at
- 2 Luna, correct?
- 3 A I would say at least by then already.
- 4 Q Okay. Now, you also informed Miss Schneider that you
- 5 had recorded these interviews, correct?
- 6 A It's consistent with our policy that when we
- 7 interview people they would record it, so it is aware
- 8 that it would have been recorded.
- 9 Q Did you write any reports based on the interview of
- 10 Ryan Thao? And again we're just talking about Ryan
- 11 Thao right now.
- 12 A Based on his strong request not to be identified, no,
- I did not complete a report on that.
- 14 Q Okay. And why did you destroy his recording
- approximately eight months after the interview?
- 16 A I guess because of his request that he did not want
- 17 to be identified, and based -- at that time I did not
- 18 know whether or not he was going to be willing to be
- 19 a witness, and so it was a decision that was made
- 20 that -- to destroy it because of his request and no
- 21 report was done so I came to the conclusion,
- consultations as well, that it did not need to be
- logged into evidence or saved.
- O Who were those consultations done with?
- 25 A With fellow investigators.

- 1 Q Please name their names.
- 2 A Sergeant Rabas, Schira, Tauber, as well as at the
- 3 time Cary Meyer.
- 4 Q What about the District Attorney's office?
- 5 A They were aware of it as well.
- 6 Q They were aware that these tapes were being
- 7 destroyed?
- 8 A That it was not going to be needed and so it was --
- 9 we could destroy them.
- 10 Q And who specifically in the District Attorney's
- office did you discuss that with?
- 12 A I don't recall specifically a person -- like an
- individual person. It was made known to me that it
- 14 did not need it and that it could be destroyed. The
- person that we have most contact with would be
- 16 District Attorney Carrie Schneider.
- 17 Q And who usually would discuss these issues with Miss
- 18 Schneider directly?
- 19 A Who would discuss with her?
- 20 O Yes.
- 21 A Various detectives, depending on who have what
- 22 portion of the investigation and what informations.
- 23 Q Okay. Between the time of the original interview of
- 24 Ryan Thao and the time of the destruction of the
- 25 tape, did you have any further contact with him

- 1 regarding this case?
- 2 A Ryan Thao?
- 3 O Yes.
- 4 A No, I did not.
- 5 Q Was the next time you had contact with him on the
- 6 interview that was conducted on April 17th, 2015?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And who decided to go reinterview him on April 17th,
- 9 2015?
- 10 A Sergeant Rabas and myself.
- 11 Q Was Miss Schneider aware that you were going to be
- 12 reinterviewing him?
- 13 A Yes. It was based on some motions that were made,
- and we decided that possibly that the defense may try
- to reach out to them so we decided to try to make
- 16 contact with them and see if they would be willing to
- be a witness in the case.
- 18 Q All right. Moving on from Ryan Thao, Mikey Thao.
- When was your original interview with Mikey Thao?
- 20 A Mikey Thao. I believe it was at night on December 11
- 21 as well.
- 22 Q Was that interview recorded?
- 23 A At that time it was, yes.
- 24 Q What happened to that recording?
- 25 A Again, similar to Ryan Thao, I ended up destroying

- 1 that recording.
- 2 Q And as you did with Ryan Thao, that -- there was
- 3 consultation with other members of your department
- 4 prior to destroying the tape?
- 5 A They were made aware of that interview, and they were
- told that because of his strong request that he did
- 7 not want to be identified, did not want to be a
- 8 witness in the case because of fear for his safety,
- 9 yes.
- 10 Q Furthermore, the District Attorney had been informed
- similarly within a couple of months of the shooting
- that Mikey Thao was a potential witness, correct?
- 13 A That was conveyed to all parties.
- 14 Q And similarly the District Attorney's office had been
- 15 consulted prior to the destruction of the tape,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A It was discussion that were made aware that because
- of the request, that we would honor their request,
- 19 and it was made aware, yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Now, third question is I'm going to ask you
- 21 the same questions now as to Watou Lee. He's also
- 22 known as Kevin Watou Lee, correct?
- 23 A That was news to me, but I know him as Watou.
- 24 Q Okay. When was he first interviewed by you?
- 25 A That was similar, that evening of December 11 as

- 1 well.
- 2 Q And was that recorded as well?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Was the District Attorney informed within a couple of
- 5 months regarding that particular interview?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And regarding that particular interview, the District
- 8 Attorney was -- that recording was also destroyed
- 9 about eight months after it was made?
- 10 A Yes. It happened at around the same time of the
- 11 other two.
- 12 Q And regarding that particular recording, the
- prosecutor's office was also aware that that tape was
- qoing to be destroyed, correct?
- 15 A They were aware of the interview and it was made
- 16 known.
- 17 Q You were aware from the interview of Mikey Thao that
- he placed himself at the time of the shooting very
- 19 close to where the actual shooting occurred,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 O And you are also aware from that interview that he
- 23 did not identify Chong Lee as the shooter and said he
- 24 didn't know who the shooter was, correct?
- 25 A From the first interview?

- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A He -- at that time he indicated it was someone coming
- 3 from the left from the bar.
- 4 Q But even when reinterviewed on April 17th or 18th of
- 5 2015 he did not identify Chong Lee as the shooter,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Not but name but by description in terms of the
- 8 direction coming, but he did not identify by name.
- 9 Q But he knows Chong Lee, correct? He knows who he
- 10 is?
- 11 A Mikey?
- 12 O Yes.
- 13 A I believe he indicated that he did not think that
- 14 Chong Lee was in the bar that night. He thought that
- 15 Chong was still in jail.
- 16 Q Okay. So he clearly indicated to you that he knew
- 17 who he was, correct?
- 18 A Mainly Paul, but he did say that he knew Chong as
- 19 well.
- 20 Q And he did not identify Chong Lee as the shooter,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A No, he did not.
- 23 Q So therefore you describe -- well, I'll save that for
- 24 argument.
- You're probably aware from your experience as a

- 1 police detective that when somebody does not identify
- 2 a suspect in a case and the defendant in a case that
- 3 the defense may want their prior statements and to
- 4 call that person because that testimony can be
- 5 exculpatory, aren't you, detective? Sergeant.
- 6 Excuse me.
- 7 A At the time he described to me, in terms of based on
- 8 my watching the video, that it was someone coming
- 9 from the bar. It was consistent with the description
- 10 he provided, and he did not provide the names of the
- 11 person. He thought that Chong was still in jail or
- 12 was not in the bar at that time.
- 13 Q Okay. Now, moving on to Watou Lee, Watou Lee also
- did not identify Chong Lee as the shooter, correct?
- 15 A Watou Lee did not know Chong.
- 16 Q Okay. And he certainly didn't identify him, did
- 17 he?
- 18 A He did not know him, but he provided the description
- of the direction of travel the person that did the
- 20 shooting.
- 21 Q Well there were a lot of people coming out of the bar
- towards the front who could have been in a position
- to do the shooting on the left, correct?
- 24 A I only go by what they say.
- 25 Q Chong Lee -- certainly from your observation of

- 1 people pouring out of that bar and from talks with
- 2 other witnesses, there were numerous people on the
- 3 left who could have done that shooting, correct?
- 4 A Based on the video and the timing of it and the three
- 5 people that walking from the bar, my estimation would
- 6 be that most likely it happened during the time that
- 7 he disappeared from the bar into the foyer area.
- 8 Q But there were several other people in the foyer area
- 9 to what could be the left of the deceased at that
- time, right?
- 11 A Yes. There were people standing there talking to
- 12 each other as well as talking to the victim.
- 13 Q And some of those people who were standing there are
- in fact identified gang members of the ACK,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Like Tou Shoua Lee for example, that would just be
- one name by example, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And there are several others as well, correct?
- 21 A A couple of them, yes.
- 22 Q Now, besides these three individuals, how many other
- 23 people have you interviewed that -- whose identity
- has not been disclosed as part of the discovery?
- 25 A Nobody else. Those are the only three.

- 1 Q Are you one hundred percent positive of that?
- 2 A I am certain.
- 3 Q Are you certain of whether any other members of the
- 4 Appleton Police Department have interviewed any of
- 5 these individuals or not?
- 6 A I can't -- I can't speak for them who they spoke to
- because there are lots of witnesses that night.
- 8 Q Okay. Let me ask another question.
- In your interviews with these three individuals,
- Ryan Thao, Mikey Thao and Watou Lee, in each one of
- 11 the interviews you offered to contact their parents
- prior to them receiving a subpoena in court, correct?
- 13 A Yes. It was a courtesy.
- 14 Q Okay. So why did you offer to contact the parents of
- these three individuals before them receiving a
- subpoena?
- 17 A It was a courtesy that I didn't want their parents to
- 18 be surprised because this -- at least at the time
- they still live with their parents, and I didn't want
- official to show up at the door presenting a
- subpoena, whether it be to them directly or to the
- 22 parents to give to them, and I wanted to honor -- at
- least show some courtesy that I would be willing to
- 24 do that to explain to the parents, because some of
- 25 them do not speak English and may not understand the

- 1 court system, so they at least have some idea what's
- 2 going on.
- 3 Q Are you related to Mikey Thao?
- 4 A Describe in terms of relationship because there is
- 5 different types of relationship in terms of Hmong
- 6 culture.
- 7 Q All right. In Hmong culture please describe how you
- 8 are related to Mikey Thao.
- 9 A I'm not blood related to him. In terms of
- 10 relationship in terms of in the community, I know
- 11 him, I know his parents, they go to my church.
- 12 Q You're in the same clan?
- 13 A Same last name, yes.
- 14 Q All right. What about Ryan Thao, are you related to
- 15 Ryan Thao?
- 16 A Not by blood.
- 17 Q How are you related in Hmong culture to him?
- 18 A Same last name, we go to -- his parents attend the
- same church that I attend, and we've been, at least,
- 20 you know, from Thailand to Laos -- I mean from
- 21 Thailand to United States and into Wisconsin
- obviously have been living in the same area.
- 23 ATTORNEY VISHNY: At this particular point
- I don't have anymore questions for Mr. -- Sargent
- Thao.

1 THE COURT: Attorney Schneider. 2 **EXAMINATION** 3 BY ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: 4 Sergeant Thao, would you agree with me that the names 5 Ryan Thao, Mikey Thao and Watou Lee as the specific 6 names as parties who were at Luna but did not want to 7 be disclosed, were those names ever provided to the 8 State prior to your reports in April? 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Because of their other contact through law 11 enforcement? 12 Yes. Α 13 And we talk about a white board. Do you know what I 14 mean when I say the white board? 15 Yes. Α 16 Can you describe that for the court? 0 Yes. During the first several days after the 17 Α 18 shooting, officer from various unit, including CRU, 19 ISU, put together pictures, shots, photos of people 20 in the bar, whether before, after the shooting, of 21 people either coming into the bar, and after the 22 shooting running out of the bar, and then names of 23 people that we, either through other sources or through officer's knowledge, identify people --24

individual people in that vicinity of the shooting.

25

- 1 Q And is it such that the white board in essence
- 2 contained a lot of still photos of people in that
- 3 Luna video?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And the process for law enforcement was then to try
- to identify who those different parties were?
- 7 A Right. Individual subjects.
- 8 Q And on that white board were photos of Watou, Ryan
- 9 and Mikey, the three we're talking about, on that
- 10 white board?
- 11 A Yes. They were identified along with the rest of the
- people.
- 13 Q Okay. So you can see them, and you've seen them
- exiting Luna immediately after what we perceived to
- be the time of the shooting, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q At some point then in December you spoke with the
- 18 three of them. Each of them asked not to be
- 19 identified?
- 20 A Yes. They're very concerned about their safety and
- 21 they did not want to be identified. They did not
- 22 want to get involved.
- 23 Q And given their request to remain anonymous, you
- 24 didn't include their statements in any previous
- 25 reports.

- 1 A No, I did not.
- 2 Q Do you remember specifically telling the State these
- 3 are the three who don't want to remain -- or want to
- 4 remain anonymous or just saying there were parties
- 5 who wanted to remain anonymous?
- 6 A I don't recall exactly the phrase, but it was
- 7 indicated to all parties that they obviously did not
- 8 want to be identified, they were concerned for their
- 9 safety, they didn't want to get involved in the
- 10 case.
- 11 Q But do you remember specifically sitting around and
- saying it's Ryan Thao, Mickey (sic) Thao and Watou
- 13 Lee, or saying there are parties who don't want to be
- 14 unidentified?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 0 Which one was it?
- 17 A All three.
- 18 Q That you specifically said names of people who didn't
- 19 want to be identified?
- 20 A Yes. There were people that we spoke to or
- 21 identified on the white board, and when I made
- 22 contact with, they did not want to be identified in
- official report.
- 24 Q Okay. And then subsequently to that, when those
- 25 parties would be discussed, do you remember telling

- 1 me or Assistant Maier or Mr. Hahn their specific
- 2 names or just that there were three parties that
- 3 didn't want to be identified?
- 4 A I believe I just mentioned that we interview three
- 5 subjects and they did not want to be identified so we
- 6 were -- the police were aware of who those people
- 7 were, but it was indicated that there are three
- 8 people that we have spoken to and they did not want
- 9 to be identified in official report.
- 10 Q Was there any -- you said it was months later that
- 11 you got rid of the recordings?
- 12 A Yeah. I mean I believe it was several months later
- 13 that they decided that because of their request that
- 14 we did not need to hold on to those recordings.
- 15 Q Up to that point, had you had any follow-up contact
- 16 with any of them?
- 17 A Not between December 13 -- December 11 and April, a
- 18 couple months ago that we talked to them.
- 19 Q Okay. Are you aware if anyone else that was a main
- 20 officer on this case had any contact with them prior
- 21 to December and when you got rid of their
- 22 recording?
- 23 A Sergeant Cary Meyer and I interview Ryan together.
- 24 Q That would have been initially, right?
- 25 A Initially. That first time on December 11.

- 1 Q Between when you spoke to them in December and when
- 2 you got rid of the recordings, are you aware of
- 3 anyone talking to them?
- 4 A No, no, I do not believe so.
- 5 Q And from what you're aware, other than those three
- 6 parties, no one else -- I think defense asked this,
- 7 but other than those three parties, no one else has
- 8 asked not to be identified, correct?
- 9 A Nobody else.
- 10 Q That you're aware of?
- 11 A That I'm aware of.
- 12 Q Have you -- defense kind of asked you why you made
- the offer to contact their parents --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- before subpoenas were issued. Have you done that
- 16 with other parties?
- 17 A For those -- in the past, yes, I have done it just
- 18 for courtesy and to explain to the parents because of
- misunderstandings because of their experience back in
- 20 Laos or Thailand and want to make sure they're
- 21 comfortable with the systems, they want to come to
- 22 the court here, how it was going to be like, and so
- 23 that -- just to provide that comfort level for the
- 24 parents.
- 25 Q And have you ever specifically done that for Watou,

- 1 Ryan or Mickey (sic), or has it been other people in
- general?
- 3 A I have not done it for them yet because no subpoena
- 4 has been served yet.
- 5 Q But on any other cases you've never had to do that
- for them before?
- 7 A No, I never have. I don't believe they were involved
- 8 in any case of mine or in the past that I'm aware
- 9 of.
- 10 Q That's been a general offer you've made for other,
- I'm going to say, young adult Hmong witnesses or
- 12 victims?
- 13 A Yes, based on if I know the parents and their level
- of involvement in the court systems, then I would
- 15 offer that.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I have no other
- 18 questions.
- 19 ATTORNEY VISHNY: I have some additional
- 20 questions.
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 BY ATTORNEY VISHNY:
- 23 Q You said that the original interview of Ryan Thao was
- 24 with Cary Meyer. Who did you conduct the interviews
- of Mikey Thao and Watou Lee with?

- 1 A Mikey Thao I conduct and interviewed. The officers
- were aware, but I conducted the interview by myself.
- 3 And then I conducted the interview with Watou Lee in
- 4 my office at the Appleton Police Department and then
- 5 information would share with the other officer.
- 6 Q Who was that?
- 7 A The information that I received from Watou was shared
- 8 with the other officer, but I conducted the interview
- 9 in my office by myself.
- 10 Q Now, when you recorded -- digitally record these
- interviews, were they video recorded or audio
- 12 recorded?
- 13 A On these three interviews would be just audio
- 14 recorded.
- 15 Q And what kind of equipment did you do the audio
- 16 recording on?
- 17 A With a hand digital recorder.
- 18 Q After you did the hand digital recording you backed
- it up to the computer system at Appleton Police
- 20 Department, correct?
- 21 A I believe I just save it into a personal working
- file. It wasn't put into a -- an evidence or ID, per
- se, file, so I still maintained possession of that
- 24 file.
- 25 Q Well when you said you put it into a personal file,

- did that use your Appleton Police Department
- 2 computer?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. And that computer is backed up by a server,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A I'm assuming it is.
- 7 Q When you spoke with Ryan Thao the second time in
- 8 April, he discussed great reluctance to become
- 9 involved even the second time, correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And over half of your interview was spent persuading
- 12 him to come forward; is that fair to say?
- 13 A I would say maybe 30 minutes, 45 minutes talking to
- 14 him, yes.
- 15 Q And the tape is an hour-and-a-half long, isn't it?
- 16 A I didn't check it yet, but I assume that you're
- 17 correct.
- 18 Q And the first time that you interviewed him, how did
- 19 he identify Chong Lee to you?
- 20 A He indicated that he did not know Chong, obviously,
- and that he did not know a lot of the people, but he
- 22 provided description of the person that ended up
- 23 shooting the person.
- 24 Q Did you show him photographs during that first
- 25 interview?

- 1 A No, I did not.
- 2 Q Did you use Chong Lee's name during that first
- 3 interview with him?
- 4 A No, I did not.
- 5 Q You never used his name?
- 6 A I did not.
- 7 Q Did you -- what description did he give you of the
- 8 person who was the shooter in the first interview?
- 9 A Because at that time we really didn't know who
- 10 obviously did the shooting in terms of there were a
- lot of people that were interviewed, or at least I
- 12 wasn't as I got into the investigation several days
- after the shooting, I simply went there based on the
- 14 identification that was made on that white board to
- talk to him as to what he observed or what happened,
- so I was simply getting the information from him as
- 17 to his observations and what happened whether before
- or after the shooting.
- 19 Q What description did he give you of the shooter at
- 20 that time?
- 21 A He indicated that it was a subject that was coming
- from the bar into the foyer area with a couple other
- peoples.
- 24 Q What clothing description did he give you?
- 25 A I don't recall specific about clothing. He described

- 1 that there was three people coming from the bar and
- 2 then a person, and then he see the smoke and he
- 3 provided that it was that person that did the
- 4 shooting.
- 5 Q Did he say the person had on what looked like a white
- 6 jacket with dark sleeves?
- 7 A I don't recall that.
- 8 Q You didn't show him any pictures.
- 9 A I did not show him any picture.
- 10 Q And Sergeant Meyer didn't show him any pictures at
- 11 the time.
- 12 A No photo was shown to.
- 13 Q At that time actually Paul Lee was the suspect in the
- 14 case, correct?
- 15 A At that time, again, I got involved in the case two
- or three days later. I did not know the initial
- 17 information that other officers had received. I went
- into the interview with Ryan with an open mind just
- to get information, so I really didn't know who did
- 20 the shooting or were potential suspect at that
- 21 time.
- 22 Q Did this interview with Ryan occur before or after
- you were involved in interviewing Paul Lee?
- 24 A Before.
- 25 ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't have any further

1		questions right now.
2		THE COURT: Attorney Schneider?
3		ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Nothing further,
4		Judge.
5		THE COURT: Sergeant, you may be seated.
6		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7		ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm calling Lieutenant
8		Gostisha. He's not sequestered, but I didn't
9		anticipate calling him, because I was completely
10		shocked by the testimony.
11		(Oath administered to witness.)
12		THE WITNESS: I do.
13		THE CLERK: Please state your full name,
14		spelling your last name for the record please.
15		THE WITNESS: Michael D. Gostisha,
16		G-O-S-T-I-S-H-A.
17		EXAMINATION
18	BY A	TTORNEY VISHNY:
19	Q	Lieutenant Gostisha, were you involved in the
20		decision to destroy these recordings of the
21		interviews of Ryan Thao, Mikey Thao and Watou Lee?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Who made the ultimate decision, did you make it as
24		the most commanding officer?

25 A Yes.

- 1 Q All right. And when did you discuss that with Miss
- 2 Schneider?
- 3 A I don't know.
- 4 Q But you did do so, correct?
- 5 A I'm not even sure of that, if I would have had any
- 6 direct conversations with her concerning that.
- 7 Q Okay. But you're aware of somebody had informed the
- 8 prosecutor's office before this decision was made?
- 9 A Again, I am not aware of that necessarily.
- 10 Q But you're familiar with the computer system at the
- 11 Appleton Police Department, correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q So anything that is put on a person's computer is
- 14 backed up to a server automatically, isn't it?
- 15 A It should be.
- 16 Q And so, therefore, even if something has been
- destroyed, a computer technology person can go to a
- 18 server and recover data; isn't that correct?
- 19 A I believe so.
- 20 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Nothing further.
- 21 THE COURT: Attorney Schneider.
- 22 **EXAMINATION**
- 23 **BY ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER:**
- 24 Q If you are aware, when discussions were held that
- 25 there were parties who didn't want to be identified,

1 were their names discussed with the prosecutors? 2 A That I'm not aware of. I didn't have any with them 3 that I can recall. And the discussions I had were 4 all with the group of officers. 5 And that would include discussions about what to do 6 with the recordings? 7 Α Correct. 8 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Nothing further. 9 THE COURT: Attorney Vishny? 10 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is Sergeant Meyer here? 11 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. 12 THE WITNESS: He's retired. 13 ATTORNEY VISHNY: He's retired? Okay. I'll call Sergeant Rabas then. I'll go get him. 14 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 (Oath administered to witness.) 17 THE WITNESS: I do. 18 THE CLERK: Please state your full name, 19 spelling your last name for the record please. 20 THE WITNESS: Neal Rabas, R-A-B-A-S. 21 **EXAMINATION** 22 BY ATTORNEY VISHNY: 23 Sergeant Rabas, what's the name of the individual who

just walked in the courtroom who was talking to you

in the hallway?

24

25

- 1 A Investigator Paul Black from the District Attorney's
- 2 office.
- 3 Q And what did Investigator Black tell you about the
- 4 testimony that was going on in the courtroom?
- 5 A We didn't -- nothing. We didn't talk about that.
- 6 Q Nothing. Okay.
- Now, proceeding to question you now about the
- 8 interviews of Ryan Thao, Mikey Thao and Watou Lee,
- 9 I'm going to ask you about all three of those. Okay?
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q Okay. Let's take them one at a time just so the
- 12 record is clear.
- On April 17th, 2015 you and Sergeant Chue Thao
- interviewed Ryan Thao, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And when that interview was conducted, what did you
- know about what Ryan Thao had stated in an earlier
- 18 interview?
- 19 A I knew that he was in the area where the shooting
- took place at Luna and that he had witnessed part of
- 21 what had taken place --
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A -- prior and right after the shooting.
- 24 Q Did you know the details of what he had said he had
- 25 witnessed?

- 1 A No, I did not know all the details. I knew that he
- 2 was there with friends and that he may have witnessed
- 3 part of what had taken place, but I didn't know the
- details of specifically what he had witnessed.
- 5 Q Had you listened to the recording of his prior
- 6 interview?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Were there any written notes or reports concerning
- 9 that interview that you were aware of?
- 10 A None that I've ever seen.
- 11 Q Were you aware of whether or not photographs had been
- previously showed to him to see if he could identify
- any of the people who were at Luna?
- 14 A No, I don't.
- 15 Q Okay. Now, did you participate in the decision at
- all to destroy the recording of his previous
- interview?
- 18 A I think as we were investigating this incident
- information had come forward that there were
- 20 witnesses that had asked not to be identified as a
- 21 result of -- for fear of their own safety, as to what
- 22 should be done with the recordings of their
- 23 interviews and any of our notes. I think as a group
- we decided that that's something, because of the
- 25 request for confidentiality based upon their safety,

- 1 that we would not retain any information associated
- 2 with those interviews.
- 3 Q Okay. Now the District Attorney's office had been
- 4 made aware of all three of these individuals prior to
- 5 the decision to destroy the recordings, correct?
- 6 A I don't recall the timing of all of that taking
- 7 place.
- 8 Q And the District Attorney's office was consulted
- 9 prior to destroying these recordings, correct?
- 10 A I don't recall the timing of what had taken place.
- 11 Q But you do know that they were consulted about the
- destruction of the recordings, correct?
- 13 A I don't know if they were advised that's what took
- 14 place after the fact or if they were questioned about
- it prior to it occurring.
- 16 Q Well, let me just say this. If Sergeant Thao says
- 17 that they were advised of it before it occurring,
- 18 would you rely on that?
- 19 A Sergeant Thao was the person who interviewed the
- 20 three individuals that you referred earlier, so he
- 21 had the most current or up-to-date information on
- 22 that. My -- my information would have been
- 23 secondhand.
- Q Okay. When you talked to all of these individuals
- 25 this last time around, were they shown any

- 1 photographs of any suspects or, in particular, Chong
- 2 Lee?
- 3 A No, I don't believe we showed them a photograph of
- 4 Chong Lee.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A It -- I should say, there was photographs shown from
- 7 the video from Luna of people exiting Luna, and the
- 8 photographs -- I don't recall -- obviously Chong Lee
- 9 is in some of those photographs, and I don't recall
- specifically if the photographs that we included in
- 11 showing him -- showing the individuals how they were
- identified through those photographs included Chong
- 13 Lee.
- 14 O So you're -- I'm a little bit confused now. Were
- those photographs of people exiting Luna shown to
- these witnesses when they were reinterviewed in April
- or do you believe they were shown to the witnesses
- 18 when they were originally interviewed back in
- 19 December of 2013?
- 20 A In April we showed them photographs from the video
- from Luna. I don't -- I don't recall the earlier
- because I was not part of that, or I should say I
- 23 don't know if there was any photographs shown to the
- 24 witnesses prior to that in the prior interview
- 25 because I was not part of that.

- 1 Q In each one of these interviews, you began by telling
- 2 these witnesses that their identity had been
- 3 inadvertently turned over to the defense because they
- 4 had appeared on the white board; in other words,
- 5 their photos had been provided, correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q In fact, the identities of all these people had been
- 8 provided in written discovery because there was a
- 9 traffic stop that had occurred that night outside of
- 10 Luna and the identities of Mikey Thao and Kevin Watou
- 11 Lee had been provided in discovery, correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And is Ryan Thao another name for Jack Thao or is
- 14 that somebody different?
- 15 A I believe they're two different individuals.
- 16 Q So Ryan Thao had not been in the car with Mikey Thao
- and Watou Lee that was stopped?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And after telling them this, another thing you talked
- 20 with each one of these individuals about was the fact
- 21 that they might be interviewed by defense counsel at
- some point, correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q And what you told them was it was up to them whether
- or not they wanted to talk to an investigator for the

- defense, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q But that they didn't have to talk to an investigator
- 4 to the defense, right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And what you specifically said was that they could
- 7 tell the investigator for the defense that they had
- 8 already given the statement to the police and didn't
- 9 have to interview -- and did not have to consent to
- 10 be interviewed by defense investigator, correct?
- 11 A I'm not sure if I worded it in that same fashion.
- 12 Q Would you like me to play the tape to refresh your
- recollection? I'll have to do that on another
- 14 occasion.
- 15 A I don't recall exactly. I don't recall saying it in
- the fashion that you presented it.
- 17 Q Well, what fashion do you recall saying it in?
- 18 A I don't know word-for-word, but something to the fact
- of it's possible that the defense counsel or their
- investigator may want to talk to you, and, sort of,
- 21 would you now be willing to give a statement --
- 22 because you were inadvertently identified, would you
- be willing to give us a statement today in reference
- 24 to what you saw or witnessed. That way, if the
- defense would like to question you, then you've

- 1 already given a statement to the police.
- 2 Q But that was at the outset of you talking to each one
- of these three individuals, correct?
- 4 A In April?
- 5 O Yes.
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q But at the end of the interviews you repeated that
- 8 they didn't have to talk to a defense investigator,
- 9 didn't you?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And that in fact they could tell the investigate --
- defense investigator that they had talked to the
- police and that the defense investigator could rely
- on that statement, right?
- 15 A Correct.
- ATTORNEY VISHNY: Nothing further.
- 17 THE COURT: Miss Schneider.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER:
- 20 Q Do you remember at any point, I'm going to say, in
- December, immediately after, or the next few months
- 22 after this occurring if the specific names of these
- three were discussed with prosecutors as to these are
- the three who don't want to be named?
- 25 A No, I don't recall -- well, I should say I don't

- 1 believe that we provided any names. I think we just
- 2 threw out or mentioned that there was witnesses that
- 3 had chosen not to be identified or requested not to
- 4 be identified for fear of their safety.
- 5 Q And prior to going to talk to them in April of 2015
- do you remember any discussions with prosecutors to
- 7 say, okay, these are the three people and we're going
- 8 to go talk to them or giving their specific names or
- 9 just saying we're going to go talk to some additional
- 10 witnesses?
- 11 A Again, I believe it was just a general that we were
- going to be speaking or contacting additional
- 13 witnesses in reference to that. In particular, I --
- 14 I knew there were three individuals that were
- 15 identified inadvertently through the posting on the
- 16 white board that had not been named in the report
- 17 associated with the homicide.
- 18 O And when you were looking at that or prepping and
- 19 looked at the white board and saw those three names,
- 20 what thoughts did you have at that point?
- 21 A My thought was that I had inadvertently -- I
- 22 indirectly had inadvertently released their names to
- the defense counsel and the defendant after they had
- requested not to be identified and associated with
- 25 the homicide case, and not only were their pictures

- but their full names were identified as a process of
- 2 this investigation. And as I was prepping for it, I
- 3 realized through the submission of those photos that
- 4 the defense counsel now had that. And two things, I
- 5 wanted to notify them that the defense is aware of
- 6 who they are and that they may be interviewed, and
- 7 then the second -- I guess I wanted to apologize that
- 8 I had inadvertently done that as well as now to see
- 9 if over a year-and-a-half later if they would be
- 10 willing to be formally identified as a witness.
- 11 Q And that did -- their identification is because when
- the white board was up with several photos, a photo
- of the entire board was taken or captured, correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 O Do you ever recall any specific conversations with
- 16 myself or other prosecutors in my office about any
- original reports or recordings or what was going to
- 18 be done with them?
- 19 A No, I don't.
- 20 O Do you recall any direction given by myself or other
- 21 prosecutors as to what if anything should happen to
- 22 any information obtained from these people when they
- ask to be named or remain confidential?
- 24 A No, I don't.
- 25 O We've had several discussions about different

- components of this case, correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q But you don't recall any discussions with myself or
- 4 other prosecutors related to saying, yes, go ahead
- 5 and get rid of those prior recordings.
- 6 A No. I think that was a decision that was made with
- 7 the investigators that were working on the case as to
- 8 what do we do with this information, and we related
- 9 to the Monfils Law, and that we believe that if there
- 10 were any of those recordings, photographs or any
- 11 submissions like that, we knew through discovery the
- defense would be able to obtain that, so I think as
- an investigative team we just decided that nothing
- 14 would be retained.
- 15 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I have nothing else,
- 16 Judge, at this time.
- 17 THE COURT: Attorney Vishny.
- 18 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. I have a few more
- 19 questions.
- 20 **EXAMINATION**
- 21 BY ATTORNEY VISHNY:
- 22 O First of all, outside of these three witnesses, Mr.
- Thao, Mr. Thao and Mr. Lee, are there any other
- 24 witnesses who exist in this case who do not want to
- be identified or simply who were interviewed by the

- 1 police that have not been disclosed to the State or
- 2 the defense?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q How many?
- 5 A Well, there was about 150 people at the bar that we
- 6 interviewed. Some of those identities -- I believe
- 7 most of those identities you have, but the full
- 8 interviews as far as what was discussed during those
- 9 interviews was not retained, whether it was recorded
- or notes taken from that or a report completed on
- 11 that. In addition to that, I know of two other
- 12 individuals -- I recall two other individuals who I
- was part of -- I interviewed that had requested not
- 14 to be identified under the same concerns about their
- own personal safety in reference to this case.
- 16 O Okay. What are the name of those individuals?
- 17 A I don't recall or don't remember right now.
- 18 O So if we took a ten-minute break and you ran back to
- 19 your office you could retrieve that, correct?
- 20 A I don't know if I have anything from those
- 21 interviews.
- 22 Q Well did you reinterview those people in April of
- 23 2015 as well?
- 24 A No, I did not.
- 25 O Why not?

- 1 A Because their photos and identities weren't turned
- 2 over to you.
- 3 Q And those people did not tell you that Chong Lee did
- 4 the shooting, did they?
- 5 A I think there is a double negative in there. Could
- 6 you repeat the question?
- 7 Q Okay. Let's take the first individual, call them
- 8 individual number one. Regarding individual number
- one, did that person identify Chong Lee as the
- 10 shooter?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Did they identify another individual as the
- 13 shooter?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did they witness the shooting?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Are you a hundred percent positive of that?
- 18 A No. I just base that -- base that response upon what
- 19 they told me.
- 20 Q Well what did they tell you, individual number one?
- 21 A Again, without my notes, what I believe is they
- 22 were -- they had just left or just outside the doors
- of Luna at the time of the shooting and then
- 24 witnessed the individuals exiting the bar as well as
- 25 the fight between the two women that occurred on

- Division Street.
- 2 Q Okay. What about individual number two?
- 3 A The same information. They were together.
- 4 Q It was a man and a woman, wasn't it?
- 5 A No. It was two males.
- 6 Q Okay. And those two males were Hmong males?
- 7 A No. One was a white male and one was a Hmong male.
- 8 Q But they were both affiliated with the same group of
- 9 friends as Chong Lee, Joe Thor, Paul Lee, Phong Lee,
- 10 you know, other Hmong males who were at that bar?
- 11 A No, they were not, not with that group of friends.
- 12 Q They weren't.
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Had they been hanging out with that particular group
- of people earlier that evening?
- 16 A Not -- not that I recall.
- 17 Q Had they been hanging out with Tom Lee earlier that
- 18 evening?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q All right. So aside from those two individuals are
- 21 there any other Hmong individuals who were
- 22 interviewed that the interview was not preserved?
- 23 A By me directly?
- 24 O To your knowledge. No. You're the person who
- 25 actually kind of has been overall in charge of this

- case for the police department, correct?
- 2 A Yeah, within the last six months, well, maybe nine
- 3 months, I guess, I -- I took after Sergeant Meyer
- 4 decided to retire. Again, because there were so many
- 5 investigators, I don't recall if there was any
- 6 additional individuals -- I guess the easiest way, I
- 7 don't believe anybody who's been turned over to the
- 8 defense inadvertently has provided statements that,
- 9 you know, have been not retained.
- 10 O That's who was turned over to the defense. We're
- 11 talking about what wasn't turned over to the defense.
- 12 That's what I want the focus to be here.
- 13 A Yeah, and I --
- 14 Q How many other witnesses, besides the two
- individuals, individual number one and individual
- number two, were interviewed regarding the shooting
- 17 and have not been turned over to the defense?
- 18 A Other than patrons in the bar and the two individuals
- that I mentioned, I don't know of any other ones.
- 20 O Okay. Of the patrons in the bar, did any of those
- 21 patrons observe the shooting?
- 22 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
- 23 Q But it's possible some did?
- 24 A It's possible and they just didn't tell us that,
- 25 because if the information was that they had somehow

- witnessed any or part of the shooting, their
- 2 information would have been further documented in a
- 3 report other than just a photograph and their
- 4 names.
- 5 Q Right. But that's because the police took a
- 6 photograph of every person in the bar with their
- 7 name, correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And that was turned over in the discovery, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q All right. But what I'm really trying to find out is
- whether there is any other witnesses with anything
- 13 substantive to offer in this case that has not been
- provided either to the prosecution or the defense,
- besides individuals number one and number two.
- 16 A The only other -- the easiest way I can answer that
- was no at this time. There was another individual
- 18 that we were intending to interview who was a new
- 19 witness her name was Megan Kelly who we were
- 20 going to interview; however, she died.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A Prior to us interviewing her.
- 23 Q Okay. How did you receive the information that that
- 24 person was a witness?
- 25 A We had received a tip that she was communicating with

- 1 Chong, and in fact was, through jail phone calls as
- well in exchange of letters. She was a resident of
- 3 the Outagamie County Jail for some time period, and
- 4 he established a relationship with her, and
- 5 apparently there is -- still exists extensive letters
- and jail phone calls between the two of them.
- 7 Q Do you have those in your possession?
- 8 A No, I do not.
- 9 Q Does the Appleton Police Department have those in
- their possession?
- 11 A The jail phone calls we have access to. I do not
- have any of them downloaded. I listened to a few of
- them, however have not saved them, or -- there is
- quite a few of them. But I am aware that the Grand
- 15 Chute Police Department is investigating her death
- 16 which we believe is a heroin overdose and is
- investigating that, and they are in the process of
- 18 obtaining the letters.
- 19 Q Okay. Why did you go out to reinterview these three
- 20 individuals, Mr. Thao, Mr. Thao and Mr. Lee?
- 21 A Like I said, when I was, I guess, assigned the lead
- investigator, I continued to thoroughly or more
- 23 thoroughly read through the report, look at the --
- 24 what evidence and information had been turned over to
- 25 the defense counsel, and continue preparation for the

1		trial. It was during that time period I realized
2		that the photos that I had taken and submitted into
3		evidence of the white board included those three
4		individuals, and I knew that that actually, at a
5		prior motion hearing there was a question asked of me
6		if we have identified everybody in the video that was
7		in the video. I believe you asked me that question.
8		And I know we hadn't identified everybody; however,
9		we had identified quite a few individuals. As I was
10		looking through those photographs that had been
11		turned over to you, I realized there were three
12		individuals, Watou, Ryan and Mikey, who had been
13		interviewed by us, however, requested not to be
14		identified, and that I had essentially turned over
15		their identities to you and wanted to notify them. I
16		think it was fair of me to notify them that I had
17		turned over their identities to the defense counsel
18		when they had specifically requested that not take
19		place.
20	Q	But their identities had been turned over in multiple
21		different ways, not just from the white board,
22		correct?
23	А	Well, the only other their identities have been
24		turned over in reference to the traffic stop, two of
25		them. However, that was not associated directly

- 1 well, there was no information in that report that
- 2 associated them as a direct witness to the -- what
- 3 had taken place in the foyer.
- 4 Q And Mikey Thao's information regarding him being a
- 5 potential gang member had also been turned over in a
- 6 packet of discovery regarding prior law enforcement
- 7 contacts, correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And you had worked on that as well?
- 10 A Part of that, yes.
- 11 Q And so that was another means by which that had been
- turned over prior to this interview you conducted in
- 13 April, correct?
- 14 A Correct. But that name was again associated with the
- traffic stop in front of George Webb's, not
- necessarily -- which is a total -- how should I say
- it, it's a separate report, a separate incident
- 18 number. Again, that report doesn't reflect any
- information that he had direct knowledge of what had
- taken place in the foyer at Luna.
- 21 Q Regarding the interviews of those three individuals,
- 22 with the interview with Ryan Thao, there is a
- 23 substantial portion of time was devoted to persuading
- him to come forward and be a witness in this case,
- 25 correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q So there was more to these reinterviews than to
- 3 simply inform people that they had been inadvertently
- 4 identified, correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And in fact about half of the time that was spent
- 7 with Ryan Thao was to try and persuade him that he
- 8 should come forward and be willing to be a witness.
- 9 A Yes. We were trying to persuade him or, I guess at
- 10 this point, see if he would be willing to identify as
- 11 a witness in this incident since he did have some
- information related to the shooting.
- 13 Q And the same was done with Mr. Mikey Thao and Mr.
- 14 Watou Lee as well, but it didn't take that much time
- because they fairly rapidly agreed to be identified.
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't have any
- 18 questions right now.
- 19 THE COURT: Miss Schneider, any follow-up?
- 20 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Vishny?
- 22 ATTORNEY VISHNY: You're excused.
- THE COURT: Sorry?
- 24 ATTORNEY VISHNY: I want to call Sergeant
- 25 Meyer. I want to know how long it would take to get

nim here, if we can get him here rapidly. And if
not, which I can certainly appreciate that we not,
I'd like to set another date as soon as possible.
And I'm going to ask for a court order, since there
are many law enforcement agents in this room, that
none of them nor the prosecutor discuss any of the
testimony which has occurred today that because,
quite frankly, Judge, I was quite surprised by the
testimony regarding the destruction of these
recordings, and I want to ask him some further
questions. It certainly appears that the evidence
would show that the State was informed of that before
it occurred since he was the lead investigator at
that time

If Miss Schneider wants to make representations to the court that the State was not informed, I'm going to take the unusual step of asking that she be sworn under oath and I be allowed to question her rather than just make representations to the court because she could potentially be a witness in this matter. So I would ask the court to decline to accept any argument from Miss Schneider unless it becomes part of the testimonial record in this matter. So that's the first order of business.

The second thing I'm going to be asking of the

1 court is I'm going to ask the court to order the IT 2 department at the Appleton Police Department, they 3 may have to hire an outside provider, but it's my belief that if these recordings were destroyed, that 4 5 they are probably still on the server. And, you 6 know, from what I know from the prosecution of child pornography cases, it appears that things never 7 8 really leave a computer, and so it may be that this could be a lot of hooey about nothing if we can 9 retrieve the original recordings of all of these 10 witnesses. Because if we can't, the next motion I'm 11 12 going to bring is to preclude them from testifying at 13 the trial as to anything inculpatory because I have ample reason to believe that exculpatory material 14 15 exists on these recordings. That would take me quite a while to do that. I would have to write a fairly 16 17 substantial brief outlining how Sergeant Thao questions witnesses and contaminates witness 18 interviews by suggesting who the perpetrator is, but 19 I have seen it throughout this case on numerous 20 interviews that this court has not reviewed, and it 21 would be my belief that he would not have deviated 22 from that very much in interviews of any other 23 witnesses and that therefore that material would be 24 exculpatory. Because I can never recover how to 25

1 cross-examine these witnesses. 2 So that's the plan that I am proposing to the 3 court. I don't know how the court wants to proceed. THE COURT: Let me ask the first question: 4 5 Do we know, Attorney Schneider, whether Attorney 6 Maier is -- Investigator Meyer is available, not 7 available? 8 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: He's retired so what 9 he's doing on any given day I have no idea. We -- I know we have access to cell numbers and home numbers 10 11 so we can try to make a call to see if he's available 12 yet today if that's the suggestion. I know Mr. 13 Maier, Attorney Maier has been trying to check with 14 some other people who know more about Appleton and 15 their IT department, and I don't know that answer, 16 but my guess, Appleton has got a very good IT department for the City that controls the police 17 department agency. I just don't know, if Sergeant 18 19 Thao saved it within his file folder on his computer, 20 when the network backs everything up, does it back up 21 only what you put on the server or does it back up 22 everything on everyone's individual computer. I 23 don't know that answer, but my guess is we can find 24 that out or ask them. THE COURT: Why don't we do this. Let's

- 1 see if we can get a hold of Investigator Meyer. 2 We'll take a five- or ten-minute break. 3 ATTORNEY VISHNY: And would the court issue that order that he's under sequestration, therefore 4 5 nobody may discuss with him the subject matter of what's occurred before here. 6 7 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just that it's on 8 Chong Lee, we're in the middle of a motion hearing 9 and trying to see if he can make it in yet today. THE COURT: Correct. 10 11 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: And then if he's available on a 13 different day, we can cross the bridge of making that 14 an ongoing order. 15 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes. 16 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. 17 (A brief recess was taken.) 18 THE COURT: It's my understanding that 19 Investigator Meyer is not available today, correct? 20 ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's what I've been 21 told.
- THE COURT: Then in this case we will try
  to get a date as soon as possible.
- 24 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes.
- Now the other thing that's happening is -- and

1	Miss Schneider might be able to relay this better
2	than me, but it's my understanding that the Appleton
3	Police Department is attempting to see whether the
4	data can be retrieved. If the data can be retrieved
5	and provided to the defense, we don't need to have
6	another hearing on this issue because then the
7	problem will appear to have been solved, at least
8	well, at least as to these three witnesses. We still
9	have another issue about the other two witnesses,
10	witnesses number one and number two.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And then the third named
13	witness which is Megan Kelly who is deceased. That's
14	in the process of investigation. If there is
15	anything related to this case from that, I'd like to
16	have a date by which that has to be turned over to
17	the defense. You know, I realize there could be
18	other issues which are not part of this case at
19	all.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Correct. And there is
21	a very significant ongoing investigation in that

regard. But I -- if I can ask just one question, it might take care of the Megan Kelly.

My understanding, this may assist Attorney

My understanding, this may assist Attorney
Vishny, is that Miss Kelly only became acquainted

22

23

24

1	with Mr. Lee since they were both here, and through
2	whatever means they can upstairs develop
3	relationships, and there wasn't one that existed
4	between them before. So it would be almost in the
5	same vein, you've heard me talk about this Amanda
6	Krohn, spelled K-R-O-H-N, where there were letters
7	between the defendant and she, they all come after
8	the offense and since he's been incarcerated, just to
9	give you a context it's going to be things shared
10	after.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. But it's just
12	whether or not there is things related to this case
13	which could be inculpatory or exculpatory. I mean
14	Amanda Krohn had quite a bit of exculpatory
15	involvement as far as denial of involvement, but I
16	just don't know what's there.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So we did hear back
18	from I'm just going to Sergeant Meyer who
19	okay. It appears next week starting on Wednesday the
20	3rd through June 11th he's in Pennsylvania. We did
21	ask about next week.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I could be here
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I just throw that out
24	there.

25

ATTORNEY VISHNY: So I have a trial set on

1	June 1st in Milwaukee that I think is likely to
2	resolve based on an offer I was given today, so I
3	could tentatively schedule myself for the afternoon
4	of the 2nd. I have a scheduling conference in the
5	morning that day in Milwaukee, but that will be early
6	at 8:30, but I would also have to set a backup date
7	in case my trial goes. I think it's very unlikely,
8	Judge, but personally, June 2nd in the afternoon
9	would be really good for me, but I would suggest we
10	also set a backup date just in case. And then when
11	did you say, he's gone through the 11th?
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: As far as the week of the
14	15th, I'm supposed to be out of town but I would make
15	myself available.
16	THE COURT: What are the dates, the 2nd or
17	the
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Looking at the week of
19	the 15th then.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right now I'm supposed to
21	be out of town teaching, but I have not finalized
22	those arrangements, and all I would ask is if we're
23	going to do something that week, that in case I still
24	don't teach for this organization, that we either
25	schedule on Monday or Friday so I could potentially

1 give them part of a week if I go at all. 2 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: My preference would be 3 Monday the 15th because --4 ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's wide open for 5 me. 6 THE COURT: I'm tied up on both of those 7 days. I have treatment court training. 8 ATTORNEY VISHNY: On the 2nd and the 15th? 9 THE COURT: 2nd I'm fine, 2nd we can put 10 down for the afternoon. 11 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Starting at 1:30? 12 THE COURT: That's fine. 13 ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Here's another option, 14 just -- and I throw it out there. The other option 15 could be we could make inquiries with Mr. --16 Investigator Meyer if there's dates between the 3rd 17 and the 11th when he is not traveling if he could be 18 available via phone, if defense would agree to his 19 testimony via phone, but that's at least an option, 20 and I don't know whether he is available, but my 21 quess is if we ask him nicely he may make himself 22 available during that time when he's out in 23 Pennsylvania. 24 THE COURT: Attorney Vishny? I'm available the

ATTORNEY VISHNY:

1	afternoon so assuming my flight is not delayed,
2	I'm supposed to get back to Milwaukee June 9th at
3	8:30 in the morning. I could be available in the
4	afternoon. The 10th available anytime after ten a.m.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I am not available.
6	Actually, that week is pretty horrible.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You're not anyway. So
8	then the next possible time, the court is not
9	available on the 15th? What about the 16th?
10	THE COURT: Same issue. Then the next time
11	I'm available well, I could be available you
12	said you weren't the 19th didn't work for you or
13	doesn't?
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Not the best.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The 19th? Then the last
16	days I'm available before departing are June 22nd
17	through 24th.
18	THE COURT: What about any days the 3rd,
19	4th or 5th, do any of those work?
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The 3rd, 4th or 5th, if
21	Investigator
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: 5th is bad, I know
23	that. The 3rd for me in the morning until probably
24	11:30 is bad.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm free on the 3rd in

1	the afternoon.
2	THE COURT: I can do the 3rd in the
3	afternoon.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That depends on him being
5	available.
6	THE COURT: Is the 4th good or bad?
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The 4th would require me
8	to reschedule something that I have in Milwaukee, but
9	it's doable. The 5th I have court in the morning in
10	Milwaukee so I have to be in attendance.
11	THE COURT: Did the 2nd work?
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The 2nd works for
13	everybody.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: According to his
15	schedule he wouldn't be traveling yet on the 2nd.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The main problem is if my
17	jury trial goes on June 1st.
18	THE COURT: That's right.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Now I would definitely be
20	available by the 4th even if there was no verdict
21	because I have a second chair. Really, it shouldn't
22	go, but you never know.
23	THE COURT: Let me ask this question.
24	Does is APD of the opinion that they would be able

to have their IT department or the City of Appleton's

1	IT department have the information, assuming they can
2	recover it, by the 2nd? If that's if it's going
3	to resolve the issue just to get the information, I
4	would just as soon not have the hearing.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No, we wouldn't.
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think we would know
8	more by that date, Judge.
9	THE COURT: We would know more by that
10	ATTORNEY GOSTISHA: Whether or not we could
11	have it we would know by then.
12	THE COURT: Why don't we shoot for the 2nd
13	in the afternoon. And then we're waiting for a
14	response back?
15	ATTORNEY MAIER: Yes.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Right. To find out
17	whether he would be available on the 3rd.
18	THE COURT: Or the 2nd.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The 2nd he is
20	available.
21	THE COURT: The 2nd he is available and
22	then the 4th you would have a
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm not sure I can come
24	on the 4th. I have to reschedule something if we're
25	going to do it, but that's reschedulable because it's

1	a meeting not a court appearance.
2	THE COURT: So do we want to look at the
3	4th as a backup date, assuming it's then we have
4	to worry about Investigator Meyer?
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Correct. Because my
6	belief based on his earlier message is that he's
7	traveling on the 3rd and the 11th, so he should be
8	available on the 4th unless he's got like tickets
9	bought to something in the afternoon. I just don't
10	know what he's going out there for.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Assuming we need the
12	4th, just making that assumption, morning or
13	afternoon or
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Afternoon is better.
15	THE COURT: That's fine.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Should we say 1:30 again?
17	THE COURT: 1:30 on the 2nd, 1:30 on the
18	4th as a backup date.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then we'll just
20	keep everybody advised when we hear back.
21	THE COURT: That would be great.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So there is a
23	couple of other issues, too, that have arisen.
24	THE COURT: So I don't forget, or although
25	maybe this is one of your points, to highlight, the

1	sequestration order as it relates to Investigator
2	Meyer remains in effect, nobody should discuss
3	anything as it relates to the subject matter.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you. All right.
5	So a couple of other issues I think arose during the
6	course of this hearing. One is we have an
7	unidentified witnesses number one and two, and I
8	don't know if those I didn't ask Sergeant Rabas if
9	that was recorded, just ask off the out of oath,
10	were those interviews recorded?
11	SERGEANT RABAS: Yes.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes. So the State can
13	do you know if they were downloaded?
14	SERGEANT RABAS: No.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No, you don't know?
16	SERGEANT RABAS: No, I don't know.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. I would ask that
18	the State also look to retrieve those. I'm almost
19	positive I could name those two witnesses based on my
20	knowledge of this case, but I'm not a hundred percent
21	sure. So I think through reading the discovery I may
22	know who was outside, but I'm not positive. But I
23	believe that the I would like to know what those
24	witnesses had to say, and I think that the defense
25	should be told if they are at all exculpatory, and I

1 can see many reasons why what they say is 2 exculpatory. For example, in this particular case, some of the alternative suspects immediately exited 3 the door, went to the right past where the fight was, 4 and ran down the street, tossed away clothing, and if 5 6 these witnesses know anything about that, I may want 7 to call them as witnesses. So, you know, I don't 8 think simply because a witness doesn't want their 9 identity turned over that that gives the State a privilege not to disclose exculpatory evidence. We 10 can see if these recordings can be retrieved, but I 11 12 want the identification of those witnesses. Even if 13 the recordings can't be retrieved, I want a reconstruction from Sergeant Rabas's memory as to who 14 15 these people are and what they said, because I 16 believe he can reconstruct that information at least 17 to some degree. Then there is the Megan Kelly information. 18 would like to set a date by which, if there is 19 anything relevant to this homicide trial, whether 20 inculpatory or exculpatory, that that be given to the 21 defense. I would be satisfied to receive that by 22 August 15th or whenever that mid-August date is going 23 to be that can we set because I realize that --24

25

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: There's probably like

1	weeks worth of phone calls, just so the court is
2	aware.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So I'm assuming in
4	two-and-a-half months
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We may just say here
6	they all are. If they tell me they've investigated
7	her death, then here they are and you can listen and
8	determine if there is anything on them that you
9	think
10	THE COURT: Well, let's do this. I'll
11	order they be released by the August 17th date. If
12	for some reason, Miss Schneider, due to a pending
13	investigation or there is some concern, please notify
14	me by no later than July we'll say July 1.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And she would
16	notify Mr. Weitz also?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I have no idea if there
20	is anything there, I suspect there probably isn't,
21	but I just want confirmation of that.
22	THE COURT: Sounds good.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: As far as I know she
24	doesn't speak Hmong so the calls should all be in
25	English.

1 ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's good. And then
2 there were letters, too, that were being reviewed.
3 THE COURT: Okay.
4 ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. So we kind

ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. So we kind of go back to this Witness No. 1 and No. 2 issue, and I don't know how the court wants to handle its approach to that. We could first see if it's going to be retrieved. I don't know what the position is of the State on whether if it is retrieved it should be provided to the defense or not or if the State is going to assert some kind of that it's not relevant or that it's privileged. I don't know what the State's position is on that.

and Mickey (sic) Thao, I don't know the names of these parties, and I didn't know those three names until I got the reports from the officers in April, so I didn't even know the names of the people.

Whether -- who else was involved or not, other than what Sergeant Rabas has testified about today, that they were outside -- take a look at my notes from his testimony. They had just left, they were just outside the doors, they saw parties run out of the bar, then saw a fight between two females kind of out on the street. That's the extent of what I know

about those parties or any interviews. I don't know if he's going to be able to retrieve them, and I would potentially -- and I don't think there's been any subsequent follow-up or contact with those parties since, so it's our position at this point that their claim or request to remain anonymous stands. So if there is anything we can recover, I might like to submit something in writing for the court on that.

THE COURT: Let me ask this. What about having Sergeant Rabas reconstruct from the best of his memory those interviews without identifying the names, provide those to both parties, let both Attorneys Vishny and Weitz, as well as the State, look at it, and if it is worth pursuing further, then we go forward.

ATTORNEY VISHNY: Correct. Because if the witness virtually saw nothing, then it's irrelevant. If the witness can provide some details that will go with the defense theory of the case in terms of which direction certain people ran in, what they saw, anything they heard spoken, it could be exculpatory, then that would overcome their desire to not be identified. So it's just going to really depend on what's there. I don't know what's there. I don't

1	know whether this interview can be recovered either,
2	and Sergeant Rabas has indicated he is not aware
3	right now. He doesn't know one way or the other
4	either. So obviously, if they can be recovered,
5	that's better than him having to rely on his memory.
6	THE COURT: What about that approach,
7	Attorney Schneider?
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I can ask him if he
9	can, from the best of his ability, do some kind of
10	summary of what they told him, just identifying them
11	as individual one and individual number two.
12	THE COURT: Right. And I think there
13	was
L 4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's exactly how we
15	referred to them, as witness one and witness two, and
L 6	one was a Hmong male and one was a white male.
L7	THE COURT: That's what I understood.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I would ask that also
19	the Appleton Police Department also determine whether
20	or not those recordings are retrievable. Okay.
21	Then the final thing is I'm asking the court for
22	a protective order that police officers not discuss
23	with witnesses that the defense investigators may
24	contact them and that they can choose to not talk to
25	the defense investigator unless the court is going to

1 say that the defense has a right to have our 2 investigators tell witnesses we talk to that police 3 may talk to them and that they can choose to tell the 4 police officers that they don't care to talk to them 5 and just say that we gave the defense a statement, 6 you can get it from there, which is exactly, by the 7 way, and I'm paraphrasing, what Sergeant Rabas did 8 tell at least one and I think probably two of these 9 witnesses. I've only listened to two out of the 10 three tapes. So, you know, it's one thing to tell a 11 witness the defense -- I just want to let you know 12 you might be contacted by a defense investigator, but 13 to start to give them legal advice -- and I do --14 whether or not Miss Schneider knew what the police 15 were doing or not, I don't think it's material, 16 they're basically investigating on behalf of the 17 State. 18 THE COURT: Well, without -- without --19 ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okav. 20 THE COURT: -- getting into pointing 21 fingers, what I will do is simply indicate that there 22 shouldn't be any reference in terms of whether or not 23 a person has to provide a statement to the defense 24 or, alternatively, if the defense obtains a witness 25 that they don't indicate that the police -- they can

1	refuse to speak to the police. We'll just leave it
2	as a quiet it's a quiet issue, and if the witness
3	wants to make their own conclusions or inquiries they
4	can do it on their own time on their own dime.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. Yes. And just
6	for the record, we do not make witness statements
7	like that to witnesses because we believe it's not
8	ethical to do so.
9	THE COURT: Anything else, Miss Schneider?
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. I'm just no.
11	I think that's it then, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, anything
13	further?
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I think we should set the
15	August date now though.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We did.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We did? Where was I?
18	Obviously so much has happened I've forgotten about
19	it, but it's in my book.
20	THE COURT: So we have the August 17th
21	date, and then we also have a June 19th disclosure
22	date, a July 1 response date, if Attorney Weitz would
23	like to respond by then, and then we have
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think it was like
25	July 7th after the 4th.

1		THE COURT: Thank you, Attorney
2	Schneider.	•
3		ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Thank you.
4		THE COURT: July 1 was the date for
5		ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You said July 17th.
6		THE COURT: Megan Kelly is the July 1 date.
7	Okay.	
8		ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you very much.
9		THE COURT: Thank you.
10		(Proceedings concluded.)
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		
2		
3	CERTIFICATE	
4		
5	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) ) ss.:	
6	COUNTY OF OUTAGAMIE )	
7		
8		
9	I, JOAN BIESE, RMR/CRR, do hereby certify to am the official court reporter for Branch IV of the	
10	Circuit Court of Outagamie County;	
11	That as such court reporter, I made full and correct stenographic notes of the foregoing proceedings;	
12		
13	That the same was later reduced to typewritten form;	
14	And that the foregoing proceedings is a full and	
15	correct transcript of my stenographic notes so taken.	
16	Dated this 9th day of June, 2015.	
17		
18		
19	Jan Duse	
20	JOAN BIESE, RMR/CRR	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		